

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,269	JIMBO, MASATO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Steven Ashburn.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To place the application in condition for allowance, participants agreed: a) to remove any reference of the term "substance" in claims 6 and 8 to make clear that silicon dioxide particles are adhered to the periphery of calcium oxide; and, b) to remove the term preferably and terms of similar meaning to the term in an amendment to the specification.